

**EXAMINERS’ RECOMMENDATION** (UP7B)

# *(PhD by Publication)*

***Following the viva voce examination the Examiners are required to complete this report.***

***If, exceptionally, an agreed report cannot be submitted, each Examiner is required to submit a separate report.***

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| External Examiner |  |
| External Examiner |  |
| Internal Examiner |  |
| Supervisor |  |
| Candidate’s Name (in full) |  |
| Title of Submission |  |
| Date of Report |  |

|  |
| --- |
| **Section A: Standards information**  *Information from this section will be available* ***on request only*** *to the public through the quality information pages of the University of Portsmouth’s website. If requested, this section will be provided anonymised and* ***without*** *any reference to the candidate and the submission.* |

*Delete as applicable*

1.1 Are you satisfied that the procedures for oral examination are sound and **YES/NO**

fairly conducted? If not, please state the respects in which they fall short.

1.2 In your view is the standard of the work appropriate to the level of the award as defined

in the Framework for Higher Education Qualifications level descriptor[[1]](#footnote-1) **YES/NO[[2]](#footnote-2)**

|  |
| --- |
| **Section B: Report of the Examiners on the oral examination** |

*Delete as applicable*

* 1. Are you satisfied that the submission presented is the candidate’s own work? **YES/NO**
  2. Did the candidate show a satisfactory knowledge and understanding of:

1. Matters relating to the submission **YES/NO**
2. Background studies to the subject of the submission **YES/NO**
   1. Other comments on the oral examination:

***Section C: Recommendation***

The Examiners recommend: *\*tick as applicable*

* 1. \* that the candidate is to be granted the award of PhD by Publication
  2. \*that the candidate is to be granted the award of PhD by Publication subject to minor

amendments and corrections being made to the supporting commentary to the satisfaction

of the following examiner(s):

Name: ................................................................................... Internal/External Examiner

Name: ................................................................................... Internal/External Examiner

Name: ................................................................................... Internal/External Examiner

* 1. \*that the candidate is to be granted the award of PhD by Publication subject to major

amendments and corrections being made to the supporting commentary to the satisfaction

of all examiners.

* 1. \*that the candidate is not to be granted the award for which they submitted, but is permitted

to re-submit as follows:

EITHER:

1. \*the thesis must be revised and the candidate will be exempt from further oral examination;

OR:

1. \*the thesis must be revised and the candidate must undergo a further oral examination

Note: With the above outcome (3.4) the Examiners must write a joint report explaining why the candidate has to re-submit, why the thesis was considered insufficient for the award and what the candidate is expected to do to bring it up to the standard required. The candidate and the First Supervisor will receive the joint report to advise them of the re-submission requirements. Further changes beyond what is agreed at this stage cannot be added when the thesis is re-examined.

* 1. \*that the candidate is not to be granted the award of PhD by Publication (please explain

below why this recommendation is appropriate).

|  |
| --- |
|  |

Examiner Name Printed Examiner Signature(s)

Prof/Dr \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

Prof/Dr \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

Prof/Dr \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

Date:

I certify that the recommendations of the examiners in respect of the above candidate is accepted by Academic Council and the award recommended is conferred.

Academic Registrar

Date

(Required when examiner’s recommendation is 3.1)

#### FINALISING AND SENDING YOUR REPORT

Please send your completed report, by email, to [researchdegrees@port.ac.uk](mailto:researchdegrees@port.ac.uk)

Following the examination, your report will be copied to the Chair, Faculty Research Degrees Committee (FRDC) and may also be considered more widely through the University’s research degree committees and quality assurance committees.

If your report relates to a candidate who studied the research programme through collaborative partnerships, your report will also be made available to the partner institution Academic Contact.

1. Appendix 1 Guidance Note for the Examination of Higher Degree by Research (including Stage 2 Part 2 Professional Doctorate Students) [↑](#footnote-ref-1)
2. ‘No’ must be ticked if a candidate is required to resubmit as a result of a standards related matter [↑](#footnote-ref-2)